REMARKS

Amendments to the Specification are presented to correct for errors noted in Tables 8 and 10 of the application as filed.

Certain non-limiting amendments have been made to the claims to address grammar, context and antecedent issues.

In the Office Action dated April 19, 2006, the Examiner considered claims 1-14, issued a restriction requirement and identified two Groups.

Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction and request recombination of all claims 1-14 into a single group for examination.

Claims 1-12 and claims 13-14 (Groups I and II, respectively) are related method and apparatus claims. The Examiner has asserted that these groups are related as product and process of use. In order to restrict between process and apparatus for its practice, MPEP 806.05(h) requires that the Examiner must show that either or both: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different product, or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. The Examiner appears to concede that test (1) cannot be met, and instead relies on test (2). Applicants respectfully disagree that test (2) has been satisfied.

The Examiner asserts, in support of test (2), that the product may be used for identifying genes that respond to changing environmental conditions, instead of identifying co-expressed or co-regulated genes. The product of claim 13 defines a system for implementing the method of claim 1 (claim 13 has been amended into independent format and now includes some limitations taken from claim 1). The analyzed data relate to gene expressions that may vary with time or to

changing environmental conditions (see, paragraph 118 of the Specification). If a group of genes react similarly in the presence of changes in environmental conditions, these genes are coregulated. Analogously, if a group of genes evolves with time in a same way in a specific environmental condition, these genes are co-expressed. In summary, genes that respond to changing environmental conditions are co-regulated. Thus, Applicant asserts that the system of the invention (claim 13) may be used only for the process defined in claim 1. Therefore, test (2) is not satisfied.

Pursuant to MPEP 806.05(h), Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner must now support a viable alternative use, or otherwise withdraw the restriction requirement between Groups I and II.

Applicant provisionally elects, subject to the foregoing traverse, Group I (claims 1-12).

The Examiner further considered claims 1-12 and issued a restriction requirement which identified two Species (noting that claims 1-3, 6 and 7 were generic).

Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction and request recombination of all claims 1-12 into a single species for examination.

Claims 4 and claims 5 and 8-12 (Species A and B, respectively), are related processes. In order to restrict between related processes, MPEP 806.05(j) requires that the Examiner must show that the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants, and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function or effect. The requirement concerning the inventions not overlapping in scope refers to the inventions being mutually exclusive. In the present case, claim 5 recites a more detailed list of parameters which are generally described and

claimed in claim 4 (Applicants have amended claim 5 to depend from claim 4 so as to emphasize this relationship). Thus, claims 4 and 5 are NOT mutually exclusive claims. These two claims have some overlap in scope. Thus, Applicant asserts that there are not two patentably distinct species.

Applicant provisionally elects, subject to the foregoing traverse, the invention of claim 5.

The Examiner further considered claims 5 and 8-12 and identified a subspecies drawn to the utilization of different parameters. Applicant respectfully traverses.

First, Applicant reiterates that claim 5 now depends from claim 4 and that there is no separate species from which a subspecies distinction can be made.

Second, Applicant submits that the Examiner cannot make out the requirement under MPEP 806.05(j) for supporting a restriction because the inventions as claimed, in the context of claims 4 and 5, clearly overlap in scope.

Third, even within claims 8-12, which are directed to individual calculations, the inventions overlap in scope. The reason for this is because claims 8-12 all operate on the claims 4 and 5 recited "numerical parameters tied to gene expression levels." Additionally, all of claims 8-12 refer to the generation of overall/global coefficients. These claims are all part of the same invention which processes numerical parameters tied to gene expression levels so as to identify groups of co-regulated and co-expressed genes (claim 1).

Thus, Applicant asserts that there is not a permitted subspecies species distinction. Applicant provisionally elects, subject to the foregoing traverse, the subspecies of the "absolute values of linear correlation components from claim 5 and use of that parameter in the calculation of claim 8.

CUSTOMER NO. 23932

Examination of the application is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

JENKENS & GILCHRIST, A Professional Corporation

By:

Andre M. Szuwalski Registration No. 35,701

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 3700 Dallas, Texas 75202-2799

Tel: 214/855-4795 Fax: 214/855-4300

P4 = PERCENTÁGE OF GENES HAVING A FINAL. VALUE LARGER THAN THE INITIAL VALUE
P5 = PERCENTAGE OF GENES HAVING THE SAME TIME EVOLUTION
P6 = PERCENTAGE OF GENES HAVING A MAXIMUM EXCURSION IN THE SAME TIME INSTANT

P3 = QUADRAIJC CORRELATION COEFFICIENT

	GanBank		7 edule 0 edule		aloha 14 aloha 21	n,	pha 28	aloha 35 al	aloha 42 a	alpha 49 a	aloha 56 a	albha 63	alpha 70	alpha 77 s	alpha 84 al	alpha 91 al	alpha 98 al	alpha IC al	alpha II: a	alpha 119	
	YPR120C	99	-0.92	O.	0.38		0.32		~.		_	·			0.37	-0.17	0.16	-0.14		-0.43	
	YJL115W	33	-0.32	0.49	0.61	1.43	0.58	0.3	-0.45	-0.42	-0.06	90.0	0.34	0.58	0.36	. 0	-0.32	÷0.14		-0.43	
	YCHO65V	C30	-1.22	-0.23	0.54	99.0	0.18	0.07	-0.69	-0.47	-0.43	9.0-	0.18	0.77	99.0	0.38	0.1	0.28	Ö,	-0.38	
	YDR097C	C26	-0.56	-0.63	0.7	5		9.0	-0.47	-0.67	-0.5	-0.54	1.16	1.24	0.81	0.34	0.11	0.19		-0.49	
	YKL045V	C30	-1.03	-0.22	0.63	0.61	0.29	-0.03	-0.62	-0.86	-1.03	0.19	0.65	0.53	0.24	-0.49	-0.32	-0.45		-1.43	
	YNL262W	C30	0.84	-0.51	0.49	0.58	0.87	0.24	-0.18	-0.64	-0.43	-0.49	0.03	0.32	0.43	0.08	0.04	-0.56		. 17.0-	1
	YOH074C	C26	-1.43	9.0-	0.28	0.79	0.88	0.28	0.01	-1.03	-0.97	+ :0	-0.67	0.45	0.44	-0.2	-0.56	-0.51		-1.09	
	YER070W	C26	-1.22	-0.51	1.32	1.74	0.99	0.71	-0.45	-0.43	-0.79	-0.3	0.59	1.43	0.97	0.44	0.24	0.36	-0.23	-0.47	
	YLB103C	S	-0.64	-0.2	0.9	0.74	0.48	0.07	-0.3	-0.34	-0.47	-0.34	0.4	0.58	0.33	-0.15	-0.25	-0.15		-0.38	
	YNL312W	83	-0.69	-0.79	0.48	96.0	0.78	0.77	0.04	-0.47	-0.79	-0.56	0.06	0.23	0.53	-0.15	90.0	-0.62		-0.54	
	YJL074C	ខ្ល	-0.74	-106	0.46	1.06	0.89	0.04	-0.15	-0.79	-0.76	. 0 .3	0.12	0.64	0.63	-0.17	-0.27	-0.45		-0.2	
	YJL187C	ខ្ល	₹ 6.0•	. 0.64	-0.04	0.51	0.38	-0.12	-0.2	-0.25	-0.45	-0.74	0.23	0.59	0.58	0.5	0.29	0.14		-0.49	
	YBR068C	9 7 7 8	-1.47	1.18	0.89	1.29	0.8	-0.17	92.0-	0.48	-1.56	-0.94	0.3	0.97	9.76	-0.06	-0.29	-0.84		-1.22	
	YNL 102W	980	-0.62	2.13	0.19	0.99	0.62	-0.17	-0.22	-0.2	-0.09	-0.64	0.28	0.73	0.71	90.0	0.2	-0.54		-0.47	
	YKL113C	80	-1.12	-0.45	0.29	0.79	0.3	-0.04	-0.56	-0.79	98.0-	-0.71	0.24	0.55	0.5	.0.27	-0.18	-0.25	-0.83	-0.56	
	YDL 164C	80	-0.62	-0.54	0.55	0.93	0.57	-0.06	0.	-0.84	-0.84	-0.4	0.11	0.73	9.0	-0.2	-0.25	-0.6		-0.6	
_	YGL038C) ()	-0.86	-0.22	0.5	0.57	-0.36	90.0	-0.69	-0.43	-0.42	0.2	0.32	0.63	0.31	0.14	.0·	0.12		-0.32	
*	YPL057C	03	0.32	-0.29	0.96	0.84	0.8	1.08	0.29	-0.45	-0.74	0.19	0.95	0.76	0.58	0.2	0.34	-0.25		-0.51	
_ 1	WKL067W	080	-0.51	0.21	0.45	103	0.77	0.93	0.29	-0.12	-0.42	-0.3	.0.3	-0.03	0.37	÷0.1	0.16	.0.23		-0.74	
_	YEROOTW	C26	-2.18	-0.58	0.87	17.1	0.64	99.0	-0.27	-0.43	-0.97	-0.84	0.18	1.46	1.13	=	0.31	0.07		-0.76	
0	YPRI35W	033	-0.56	-0.76	0.63	1.12	0.51	-0.12	-0.45	-0.79	-0.76	-0.84	0.12	0.57	0.43	-0.29	-0.17	0.45		-0.71	
	YOL007C	C28	143	-1.25	0.83	0.73	0.77	-0.47	-0.32	-1.18	1.47	-0.71	-0.32	0.58	0.78	0.39	-0.27	÷0.		-1.03	
	YPL256C	C26	-1.69	-0.97	=	1.69	0.45	-0.07	-0.64	-1.6	-1.79	.136	0.07	1.29	0.82	0.28	.	9.0-		-1.32	
	YIL140\	C26	-1.43	-1.03	1.37	0.74	0.26	-0.17	-0.84	-1.18	-1.09	-103	-0.45	0.7	0.29	-0.36	-0.32	-0.51		-1.32	
	YDR309C	030	0.53	-0.62	0.33	0.38	0.11	-0.74	÷1.09	-106	-0.47	-0.3	1.52	0.59	0.64	-0.3	0.53	-0.17	_	-0.42	
	YMH199V	C26	-1.6	-0.97	1.25	0.83	0.9	0.44	0.03	-0.58	-1.15	-0.81	0.62	Ē	0.95	0.26	0.31	-0.06		-0.92	
	YGRI52C	8 0 0	-0.49	-0.58	0.8	0.34	0.57	0.34	-0.0	-0.42	-0.47	-0.38	0.43	0.55	0.42	0.21	0.0	-0.3		-0.71	
	YBL035C	03 03	-0.45	-0.64	101	7	0.45	÷.0-	-0.64	0.15	-1.09	0.44	0.04	0.28	0.32	0.03	-0.54	-0.12		ė.	
	YPR175V	C30	-0.54	-0.69	1.03	0.57	0.49	-0.12	-0.34	-0.62	-0.56	-0.45	0.1	0.52	0.3	-0.22	-0.15	-0.62	-0.2	-0.69	
	YERINC	03 03	-1.25	-0.3	1.32	1.33	0.5	0.14	-0.89	-0.86	-0.79	0.03	0.85	0.74	0.33	-0.23	-0.15	-0.58	-0.38	-0.51	
	Ē	P2	£	ă.	P5	- 8 -	T)C														
	0.81	0	0.77	0.5	0.72	0.57	0.67														
	P1 = POSIT	TIVE LIN	PI = POSITIVE LINEAR CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	ELATIO	N COEFF	ICIENT															
	P2 = NEGA	ATIVELL	P2 = NEGATIVE LINEAR CORRELATION COEFFICIENT	RELATI	ON COEF	FICIENT															
				1		1															

Tab. 8

Tab. 10